arXiv:1607.02661v1 [math.KT] 9 Jul 2016

A GEOMETRIC APPROACH TO HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY OF THE EXTERIOR ALGEBRA

MICHAEL WONG

Abstract. We give a new computation of Hochschild (co)homology of the exterior algebra, together with algebraic structures, by direct comparison with the symmetric algebra. The Hochschild cohomology is determined to be essentially the algebra of even-weight polyvector fields. From Kontsevich's formality theorem, the differential graded Lie algebra of Hochschild cochains is proved to be formal when the vector space generating the exterior algebra is even dimensional. We conjecture that formality fails in the odd dimensional case, proving this when the dimension is one. In all dimensions, formal deformations of the exterior algebra are classified by formal Poisson structures.

Contents

Introduction 1
Acknowledgments 3
1. Preliminaries 3
2. Hochschild homology 6
3. Hochschild cohomology 8
4. Algebraic structure on Hochschild cohomology 12
4.1. Gerstenhaber structure 12
4.2. BV structure 15
5. Formality theorems and deformation theory 16
Appendix A. Hochschild (co)homology of a graded algebra 19
References 22

Introduction

Hochschild (co)homology is a basic invariant of associative algebras encoding, among other things, their deformation theory. In this context, several aspects of the exterior algebra are still unknown, despite its ubiquity in mathematics. Using purely combinatorial techniques, Han and Xu [XH07] compute the additive structure of Hochschild homology and cohomology and present the cup product by generators and relations. Lampret and Vavpetič [LA] obtain the same results from the perspective of algebraic Morse theory. By the general theory of [LZZ16] and [Vol16], because the exterior algebra is Frobenius with semisimple Nakayama automorphism, its Hochschild cohomology is a Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) algebra. However, these algebraic statements do not yield a simple, geometric characterization of Hochschild (co)homology or describe the Gerstenhaber bracket, which is essential for studying deformations.

When equipped with its natural grading, on the other hand, the exterior algebra can be viewed as the algebra of functions on an odd vector space (i.e., a supermanifold). The

Hochschild (co)homology of this graded version, which is properly a symmetric algebra on odd generators, is well-studied. The odd variant of the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg isomorphism [HKR62] identifies Hochschild homology with the space Ω of algebraic differential forms on odd affine space and Hochschild cohomology with the Gerstenhaber algebra T_{poly} of polyvector fields. Under this equivalence, the divergence operator with respect to a volume form gives a BV structure. The famous formality theorem of Kontsevich [Kon03], which provides a canonical deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, can also be adapted to supermanifolds [CF07].

We fill in the picture for the ungraded exterior algebra by a direct comparison with its graded analog in the symmetric algebra. The setting for our comparison is Koszul duality. Keller [Kel] proves that, if A is a Koszul algebra and $A^!$ its Koszul dual, there exists an isomorphism of Hochschild cochains $C^*(A,A) \to C^*(A^!,A^!)$ in the homotopy category of B_∞-algebras, inducing an isomorphism on cohomology $HH^*(A,A) \to HH^*(A^!,A^!)$ as Gerstenhaber algebras. Herscovich [Her] extends this result to the module structure of Hochschild homology, proving that the Tamarkin-Tsygan calculus of A and $A^!$ are dual. Moreover, when A is Calabi-Yau, Chen et al. [CYZ] enhance Keller's Gerstenhaber isomorphism to one of BV algebras where $HH^*(A,A)$ and $HH^*(A^!,A^!)$ are given the BV differentials in [Gin] and [Tra08], respectively. The assumption of these theorems, however, is that Koszul duality exchanges ordinary and differential graded algebras: A is assumed to be weight graded (Adams graded in the terminology of [Kel]) and concentrated in differential degree 0, while $A^!$ is concentrated along the bigrading diagonal (and has trivial differential). So the symmetric algebra $\operatorname{Sym} V$ generated by a finite dimensional vector space is Koszul dual to the symmetric algebra on shifted generators, $\operatorname{Sym}(V^\vee(-1))$, where $V^\vee$ is the linear dual of V. In contrast, classical sources (for example [BGS96]) often identify the Koszul dual with the more elementary quadratic dual, which is weight graded and concentrated in differential degree 0. With this convention, $\operatorname{Sym} V$ is dual to the exterior algebra $\bigwedge V^\vee$, as in the BGG correspondence [BGG78].

Evidently, the quadratic dual $A^!_{\text{classical}}$ is obtained from the differential graded Koszul dual $A^!$ by subtracting the weight grading from the differential grading,

$$A \xleftarrow[\text{duality}]{\text{Koszul}} A^! \xleftarrow[\text{weight}]{\text{diff. degree} -} A^!_{\text{classical}}.$$

The exterior algebra $\bigwedge V$ is recovered in this way from $\operatorname{Sym}(V(-1))$. It is natural to ask how such a grading shift affects Hochschild (co)homology in general, and we give a partial answer in §2, §3, and §4:

Theorem A. *With cohomological degree taken modulo* 2*, there is an isomorphism of the odd-weight subcomplexes of Hochschild chains*

$$C_*^{\text{odd}}(A^!,A^!) \cong \Pi\, C_*^{\text{odd}}(A^!_{\text{classical}}, A^!_{\text{classical}})$$

where Π *is the parity inversion operator. Similarly, there is an isomorphism of the even-weight subcomplexes of Hochschild cochains*

$$C^*_{\text{even}}(A^!,A^!) \cong C^*_{\text{even}}(A^!_{\text{classical}}, A^!_{\text{classical}})$$

preserving the B_∞*-operations [GJ].*

For the symmetric algebra in particular, the remaining subcomplexes of (co)chains become acyclic after shift, up to one dimensional factors in cohomological degree 0. Consequently, much of the geometric characterization of Hochschild (co)homology of the symmetric algebra can transferred to the exterior algebra, as we discuss in §4:

Theorem B. *With cohomological degree taken modulo 2, there is an isomorphism*

$$HH_*(\bigwedge V, \bigwedge V) \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \Pi\,\Omega_{\text{odd}}$$

where Ω_{odd} is the space of odd-weight differential forms on $V^\vee(1)$. Similarly, there is an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber and BV algebras

$$HH^*(\bigwedge V, \bigwedge V) \cong \begin{cases} T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}} & \text{if } V \text{ is even dimensional} \\ T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}} \oplus \mathbb{C} & \text{if } V \text{ is odd dimensional} \end{cases}$$

where $T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}$ is the algebra of even-weight polyvector fields.

In the latter statement, the Gerstenhaber bracket and BV differential are extended in a natural way to the factor $\mathbb{C}$ in cohomological degree 0. Because of the way Hochschild cohomology is preserved from the symmetric algebra when V is even dimensional, L_∞ formality of Hochschild cochains is deduced from Kontsevich's theorem in §5. We show that in general this fails when V is odd dimensional.

Theorem C. *If V is even dimensional, there is an L_∞ quasi-isomorphism*

$$HH^*(\bigwedge V, \bigwedge V)(1) \longrightarrow C^*(\bigwedge V, \bigwedge V)(1)$$

where both sides are given their standard differential graded Lie structures. If $\dim V = 1$, there does not exist an L_∞ quasi-isomorphism.

Regardless, in all dimensions, formal deformations of the exterior algebra are classified by formal Poisson structures in Corollary 5.5, just as for the symmetric algebra.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Travis Schedler, whose ideas were the start of this project and whose advising throughout was invaluable. He thanks Lee Cohn and Dan Kaplan for helpful discussions and corrections. The author was partially supported by NSF Geometry/Topology RTG at UT Austin and thanks both Imperial College and MPIM in Bonn for excellent working conditions.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout, $\Bbbk$ is a field of characteristic 0 and $\otimes = \otimes_\Bbbk$. We use the notation $V^\vee$ for $Hom_\Bbbk(V, \Bbbk)$ if V is a finite dimensional $\Bbbk$-vector space, $\cong$ for isomorphism, and $\simeq$ for quasi-isomorphism. We follow the cohomological grading convention, in which differentials have degree $+1$. The term weight grading refers to the grading in which the space of generators V has degree 1 and $V^\vee$ has degree -1, also called Adams grading (e.g., in [Kel]).

Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\Bbbk$-algebra. There are two standard definitions of Hochschild (co)-homology of A with different sign conventions. On the one hand, we can consider A to be differential graded algebra with vanishing differential and, letting $A^e = A \otimes A^{\text{op}}$, work in the category of differential graded A-bimodules, $(A^e)^{dg}$-*mod*. Objects in this category are chain complexes (M^*, d), the $*$ indicating differential or cohomological degree, with compatible left A^e-action. From this perspective, the Hochschild (co)chain complex is

$$C_*(A) := A \otimes_{A^e} \text{Bar}^{dg}(A), \quad \widetilde{C}^*(A) := Hom_{A^e}(\text{Bar}^{dg}(A), A)$$

where $(\mathrm{Bar}^{dg}(A), d_{\mathrm{Bar}^{dg}})$ is the two-sided bar construction of A,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Bar}^{dg}(A) &= \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} A\otimes A^{\otimes n}(n)\otimes A,\\
d_{\mathrm{Bar}^{dg}}(a_0[a_1|\dots|a_n]a_{n+1}) &= (-1)^{|a_0|}a_0a_1[a_2|\dots|a_n]a_{n+1}\\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{|a_0|+\dots+|a_i|+i}a_0[a_1|\dots|a_ia_{i+1}|\dots|a_n]a_{n+1}\\
&+ (-1)^{|a_0|+\dots+|a_{n-1}|+n}a_0[a_1|\dots|a_{n-1}]a_na_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, (n) denotes the grading shift $M(n)^r = M^{r+n}$, and the functors $\otimes_{A^e}$ and Hom_{A^e} are differential graded. If A has an auxiliary $\mathbb{Z}$-grading, such as a weight grading, then $C_*(A)$ is automatically bigraded, and one can work with the subcomplex of $\widetilde{C}^*(A)$ spanned by bihomogeneous cochains. This subcomplex, which we write as $C^*(A)$, is not in general quasi-isomorphic to $\widetilde{C}^*(A)$ but is, for example, when A is concentrated in cohomological degree 0 and Koszul.

On the other hand, we can view A to be concentrated in cohomological degree 0 and interpret its given $\mathbb{Z}$-grading, which we call the internal grading, to be distinct. We then consider the category of chain complexes of graded A^e-modules, $Ch(A^e\text{-}gr)$. Objects are bicomplexes $(M^{*,*}, d)$ with compatible left A^e-actions, where the first index is cohomological degree and the second is internal degree; the differential has bidegree $(+1, 0)$. The bar construction is now bigraded, and the bigraded Koszul sign rule yields the following simpler formula for the differential:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Bar}(A) &= \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} A\otimes A^{\otimes n}(n)\otimes A,\\
d_{\mathrm{Bar}}(a_0[a_1|\dots|a_n]a_{n+1}) &= a_0a_1[a_2|\dots|a_n]a_{n+1}\\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i}a_0[a_1|\dots|a_ia_{i+1}|\dots|a_n]a_{n+1}\\
&+ (-1)^{n}a_0[a_1|\dots|a_{n-1}]a_na_{n+1}. \qquad (1.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, (n) denotes the shift $M(n)^{r,t} = M^{r+n,t}$ in cohomological degree, while we use $[n]$ to denote the shift in internal degree, $M[n]^{r,t} = M^{r,t+n}$. The Hochschild (co)chain complex is

$$C_{*,*}(A) := A\otimes_{A^e}\mathrm{Bar}(A),\quad \widetilde{C}^{*,*}(A) := Hom_{A^e}(\mathrm{Bar}(A), A)$$

where $\otimes_{A^e}$ and Hom_{A^e} are bigraded functors. This formulation appears in [Kas86], in which A has $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ internal grading. Similarly to before, if A has an auxiliary $\mathbb{Z}$-grading, such as a weight grading, then one can restrict to the subcomplex of cochains spanned by trihomogeneous elements, which we write as $C^{*,*}(A)$.

As detailed in the appendix, the first version of Hochschild (co)chains is obtained from the second by totalization functors relating $Ch(A^e\text{-}gr)$ to $(A^e)^{dg}\text{-}mod$. While algebraic structures are usually written in terms of the first version, the second has the benefit of formulas that are easier to analyze under grading shifts. Hence, we make explicit computations in §2 and §3 in the bigraded setting but then totalize in §4 and §5 to study algebraic structures.

For any differential graded, weight-graded vector space (M^*, d_M), we have not only the usual homogeneous shift (n) but also a shift of the differential degree by weight. Namely, let $M(\mathrm{wt})$ be the complex whose component $M(\mathrm{wt})^n$ consists of elements $m\in M^{n+w(m)}$

and whose differential is

$$d_{M(\mathrm{wt})}(s^{-w(m)}m) := s^{-w(m)}d_M(m) \tag{1.2}$$

where s is suspension of differential degree and $w(a)$ is the weight of a. In effect, the bicomplex M is sheared by the weight grading. For a chain complex of internally graded, weight-graded vector spaces $(M^{*,*}, d_M)$, we similarly define shearing of the internal degree and denote it by [wt]. For notational simplicity, we will omit the suspension symbol s from formulas where its presence is clear from context.

Let V be a finite dimensional $\Bbbk$-vector space which is concentrated in internal degree $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Everything that follows can be stated viewing V instead as differential graded. The tensor algebra on V of $\Bbbk$, denoted by $T_{\Bbbk}V$, has a weight grading that counts the length of a monomial:

$$w(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = n.$$

It also has an internal grading induced from V:

$$|x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n| := mw(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n).$$

We will specifically consider algebras of the form

$$A = T_{\Bbbk}V/I \tag{1.3}$$

where I is a weight-graded (and hence internally graded) ideal. Such an algebra is quadratic if I is generated by a subspace $R \subset V \otimes V$,

$$A = T_{\Bbbk}V/(R), \tag{1.4}$$

and it is Koszul if the subcomplex of $\mathrm{Bar}(A)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} K(A)_{\mathrm{bimod}} &:= \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} A \otimes W_n(n) \otimes A \\ W_0 = \Bbbk, \qquad & W_n = \bigcap_{i+2+j=n} V^{\otimes i} \otimes R \otimes V^{\otimes j}, \end{aligned}$$

is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathrm{Bar}(A)$ (and hence quasi-isomorphic to A in cohomological degree 0) (see, e.g., [BGS96], [BK99]). The space $W = \bigoplus W_n$ is the quadratic dual coalgebra of A [LV12], but we will not have use for the coalgebra structure. Equivalently, A is Koszul if the complex of left A-modules

$$K(A)_l := K(A)_{\mathrm{bimod}} \otimes_A \Bbbk,$$

where here $\Bbbk$ denotes the augmentation module, is quasi-isomorphic to $\Bbbk$ in cohomological degree 0. For algebras of the form (1.3), we will always restrict our attention to the subcomplexes $C^{*,*}(A)$ and $C^*(A)$ rather than the full cochain complexes.

The shearing operation can be applied to the algebra A in (1.3) viewed as a complex of vector spaces. The result $A[\mathrm{wt}]$ (or $A(\mathrm{wt})$ in the differential graded setting) can be endowed with the multiplication

$$s^{-w(a)}a \cdot s^{-w(b)}b := s^{-w(a)-w(b)}a \cdot b \ \ \forall a, b \in A,$$

retaining the algebra structure of A but altering the internal degree of elements. While at first this change seems insignificant, the opposite algebra A^{op} acquires a different structure once shifted,

$$\begin{aligned} s^{-w(a)}a \cdot^{\mathrm{op}} s^{-w(b)}b &= (-1)^{(|a|-w(a))(|b|-w(b))}s^{-w(b)}b \cdot s^{-w(a)}a \\ &= (-1)^{(|a|-w(a))(|b|-w(b))}s^{-w(b)-w(a)}b \cdot a. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, the identification of a left action of A on a module M with a right action of A^{op} and vice versa are altered. This is the key observation in comparing the Hochschild (co)homology of A to that of $A[\mathrm{wt}]$ and, in particular, of the symmetric algebra to that of the exterior algebra.

The symmetric algebra $\operatorname{Sym} V$ is defined as the free graded commutative algebra generated by V. In the mold of (1.4), the space of relations R is spanned by graded commutators,

$$x \otimes y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \otimes x, \quad x, y \in V.$$

It is well-known that $\operatorname{Sym} V$ is Koszul, and the quadratic dual coalgebra has components W_n that are spanned by elements

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (sgn(\sigma))^{|V|-1} [x_{\sigma(1)} | x_{\sigma(2)} | \dots | x_{\sigma(n)}], \quad x_i \in V.$$

In the context of the theorem of [Kel] where $|V| = 0$, the Koszul dual of $\operatorname{Sym} V$ is $\operatorname{Sym}(V^\vee(-1))$. In terms of algebras with internal grading, we write this as $\operatorname{Sym}(V^\vee[-1])$.

The exterior algebra $\bigwedge V$ is defined as the free graded anticommutative algebra generated by V. It is constructed with R in (1.4) spanned by graded anticommutators,

$$x \otimes y + (-1)^{|x||y|} y \otimes x, \quad x, y \in V.$$

One easily checks the equality

$$\bigwedge V = \operatorname{Sym}(V[-1])[\mathrm{wt}]. \tag{1.5}$$

For example, if V is concentrated in even degree, the relations for $\bigwedge V$ and $\operatorname{Sym}(V[-1])$ have the same form,

$$x \otimes y + y \otimes x = 0,$$

but whether this represents graded commutativity or anticommutativity depends on the internal grading. To recover the anticommutativity of $\bigwedge V$, one must shift the degree of the generators of $\operatorname{Sym}(V[-1])$ by 1, their weight.

The identity 1.5 implies that the bimodule/left Koszul complex of $\bigwedge V$ is the weight-shifted Koszul resolution of $\operatorname{Sym}(V[-1])$. In fact, because nothing but the internal grading changes between $K(A)$ and $K(A[\mathrm{wt}])$, we deduce

Proposition 1.6. *If the algebra A in (1.3) is Koszul, then $A[\mathrm{wt}]$ is Koszul as well.*

2. Hochschild homology

Assume A is of the form (1.3). Under the canonical identification

$$C_{*,*}(A) = A \otimes_{A^e} \operatorname{Bar}(A) \cong A \otimes_{\Bbbk} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A^{\otimes n}(n),$$

the Hochschild differential $Id_A \otimes_{A^e} d_{\mathrm{Bar}}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} d(a[a_1|\dots|a_n]) &= aa_1[a_2|\dots|a_n] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i a[a_1|\dots|a_i a_{i+1}|\dots|a_n] \\ &+ (-1)^{\epsilon_n} a_n a[a_1|\dots|a_{n-1}] \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_n &= n + |a_n|(|a| + |a_1| + \dots + |a_{n-1}|) \\ &= n + m^2 w(a_n)(w(a) + w(a_1) + \dots + w(a_{n-1})) \end{aligned}$$

on weight-homogeneous elements a_i. The Hochschild complex can be decomposed into even- and odd-weight subcomplexes,

$$C_{*,*}(A) = C^{\text{even}}_{*,*}(A) \oplus C^{\text{odd}}_{*,*}(A)$$

where $a[a_1|\dots|a_n] \in C^{\text{even/odd}}_{*,*}(A)$ if and only if $w(a)+w(a_1)+\cdots+w(a_n)$ is even/odd. We will determine how each component transforms under shearing. To start, the odd-weight subcomplex commutes with the shearing operation:

Proposition 2.2. *There is an isomorphism of chain complexes of bigraded vector spaces*

$$h_{*,*} : C^{\text{odd}}_{*,*}(A[\text{wt}]) \longrightarrow C^{\text{odd}}_{*,*}(A)[\text{wt}].$$

Proof. The map $h_{*,*} : C_{*,*}(A[\text{wt}]) \to C_{*,*}(A)[\text{wt}]$ that on weight-homogeneous elements evaluates as

$$h_{*,*} : s^{-w(a)}a[s^{-w(a_1)}a_1|\dots|s^{-w(a_n)}a_n] \mapsto s^{-w(a)-\cdots-w(a_n)}a[a_1|\dots|a_n]$$

is clearly a levelwise isomorphism. We need to show that its restriction to the odd-weight subcomplex is compatible with differentials, the differential of the right-hand side determined by (1.2). The compositions $h_{*,*} \circ d_{C_{*,*}(A[\text{wt}])}$ and $d_{C_{*,*}(A)[\text{wt}]} \circ h_{*,*}$ are the same up to the sign ϵ_n in (2.1). For $A[\text{wt}]$, the factor m in ϵ_n is replaced by $m-1$. In either case, if the total weight is odd, then either

$$w(a_n) \equiv 0 \ \text{ or } \ w(a)+w(a_1)+\cdots+w(a_{n-1}) \equiv 0 \bmod 2,$$

implying $\epsilon_n \equiv n \bmod 2$. □

The even-weight subcomplex evidently changes under shearing, but the precise effect on Hochschild homology is difficult to analyze at the generality of (1.3). If A is Koszul, the subcomplex

$$A \otimes_{A^e} K_{\text{bimod}}(A)$$

is quasi-isomorphic to $C_{*,*}(A)$. Writing a generic element of $W_n(n)$ as

$$\sum_{x_i \in V} \lambda_{x_1,\dots,x_n}[x_1|\dots|x_n], \ \ \lambda \in \Bbbk \tag{2.3}$$

we may simplify the differential on $A \otimes_{\Bbbk} \bigoplus W_n(n)$ to

$$\begin{aligned} d(\sum \lambda_{x_1,\dots,x_n} a[x_1|\dots|x_n]) &= \sum \lambda_{x_1,\dots,x_n} a x_1[x_2|\dots|x_n] \\ &+ \sum (-1)^{\epsilon_n} \lambda_{x_1,\dots,x_n} x_n a[x_1|\dots|x_{n-1}] \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_n = n + |x_n|(|a|+|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|) &= n + m^2 w(x_n)(w(a)+w(x_1)+\cdots+w(x_{n-1})) \\ &= n + m^2(w(a)+n-1). \end{aligned}$$

The complex thus identified is the left Koszul complex but with a perturbed differential; for the even-weight subcomplex of $\text{Sym}(V)[\text{wt}]$, they actually coincide.

Proposition 2.5. *The inclusion*

$$\Bbbk \hookrightarrow C^{\text{even}}_{*,*}(\text{Sym}(V)[\text{wt}])$$

of $\Bbbk$ *in cohomological degree 0 is a quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes of bigraded vector spaces.*

Proof. The weight n component W_n of the quadratic coalgebra dual to $\mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}]$ is the same as that for $\mathrm{Sym}\, V$ merely shifted in internal degree. A generic element is a linear combination of elements

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} sgn(\sigma)^{m-1}[x_{\sigma(1)}|x_{\sigma(2)}|\dots|x_{\sigma(n)}],\ \ x_i\in V$$

where m is the degree of V before shearing. The differential (2.4) for $\mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}]$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &d(\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} sgn(\sigma)^{m-1}a[x_{\sigma(1)}|x_{\sigma(2)}|\dots|x_{\sigma(n)}]) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} sgn(\sigma)^{m-1}(1+(-1)^{\epsilon'_n})ax_{\sigma(1)}[x_{\sigma(2)}|x_{\sigma(1)}|\dots|x_{\sigma(n)}] \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon'_n &= \epsilon_n + m^2w(a) + (n-1)(m-1) \\ &= n + (m-1)^2(w(a)+n-1) + m^2w(a) + (n-1)(m-1). \end{aligned}$$

The first term after ϵ_n comes from commuting $x_{\sigma(1)}$ and a, and the last term comes from the difference in signs of permutations σ and σ' related by

$$\sigma(1)=\sigma'(n),\ \sigma(2)=\sigma'(1),\dots,\sigma(n)=\sigma'(n-1). \tag{2.7}$$

Restricting d to the even-weight subcomplex, i.e., $w(a)+n\equiv 0 \bmod 2$, observe

$$\epsilon'_n \equiv n+m-1+mw(a)+(n-1)(m-1) \equiv m(w(a)+n) \equiv 0 \bmod 2,$$

so d is 2 times the left Koszul differential. This complex is quasi-isomorphic to $\Bbbk$ in cohomological degree 0 (Proposition 1.6). □

With identity 1.5, we have proven

Theorem 2.8. *There is a quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes of bigraded vector spaces*

$$\Bbbk \oplus C^{\mathrm{odd}}_{*,*}(\mathrm{Sym}(V[-1]))[\mathrm{wt}] \simeq C_{*,*}(\bigwedge V).$$

If the internal degree is taken modulo 2, the quasi-isomorphism is

$$\Bbbk \oplus \Pi\, C^{\mathrm{odd}}_{*,*}(\mathrm{Sym}(\Pi\, V)) \simeq C_{*,*}(\bigwedge V)$$

where Π *is the operation of parity inversion.*

3. Hochschild cohomology

Again assume A is of the form (1.3). Our computation of Hochschild cohomology parallels the previous section. The Hochschild codifferential is

$$d(f) := (-1)^n f\circ d_{\mathrm{Bar}},\ \ f\in Hom_{A^e}(A\otimes A^{\otimes n}(n)\otimes A, A).$$

Under the canonical identification

$$Hom_{A^e}(\mathrm{Bar}(A),A) \cong Hom_{\Bbbk}(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} A^{\otimes n}(n), A),$$

the codifferential evaluates on a weight-homogeneous cochain f as

$$\begin{aligned} d(f)([a_1|a_2|\dots|a_n]) &= (-1)^{\delta_n} a_1 f([a_2|\dots|a_n]) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+n} f([a_1|\dots|a_i a_{i+1}|\dots|a_n]) \\ &+ f([a_1|\dots|a_{n-1}])a_n \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\delta_n = n + |a_1||f| = n + m^2 w(a_1)w(f)$. The Hochschild cochain complex can be decomposed into even- and odd-weight subcomplexes,

$$C^{*,*}(A) = C^{*,*}_{\text{even}}(A) \oplus C^{*,*}_{\text{odd}}(A)$$

where $f \in C^{*,*}_{\text{even/odd}}(A)$ if and only if

$$w(f) = w(f([a_1|\dots|a_n])) - w([a_1|\dots|a_n]) \text{ is even/odd.}$$

This time, the even-weight subcomplex commutes with the shearing operation:

Proposition 3.2. *There is an isomorphism of chain complexes of bigraded vector spaces*

$$h^{*,*} : C^{*,*}_{\text{even}}(A[\text{wt}]) \longrightarrow C^{*,*}_{\text{even}}(A)[\text{wt}].$$

Proof. A weight-homogeneous map $f \in Hom_{\Bbbk}((A[\text{wt}])^{\otimes n}(n), A[\text{wt}])$ determines an element $\bar{f} \in Hom_{\Bbbk}(A^{\otimes n}, A)$ evaluating as

$$\bar{f}([a_1|\dots|a_n]) = s^{w(f)+w(a_1)+\dots+w(a_n)} f([s^{-w(a_1)}a_1|\dots|s^{-w(a_n)}a_n]).$$

The map $h^{*,*} : C^{*,*}(A[\text{wt}]) \to C^{*,*}(A)[\text{wt}]$ defined by

$$h^{*,*} : f \mapsto s^{-w(f)}\bar{f}$$

is clearly a levelwise isomorphism. We need to show that its restriction to the even-weight subcomplex is compatible with differentials, the differential of the right-hand side determined by (1.2). The compositions $h^{*,*} \circ d_{C^{*,*}(A[\text{wt}])}$ and $d_{C^{*,*}(A)[\text{wt}]} \circ h^{*,*}$ are the same up to the sign δ_n in (3.1). For $A[\text{wt}]$, the factor m in δ_n is replaced by $m-1$. In either case, if $w(f) = w(\bar{f})$ is even, then $\delta_n \equiv n \bmod 2$. □

Analogous to the situation of Hochschild homology, the odd-weight subcomplex changes under shearing, but the effect on cohomology is difficult to measure in general. If A is Koszul, the cochain complex

$$Hom_{A^e}(K_{\text{bimod}}(A), A) \cong Hom_{\Bbbk}\big(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} W_n(n), A\big)$$

is quasi-isomorphic to $C^{*,*}(A)$. In the notation (2.3), the codifferential simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} df\big(\sum \lambda_{x_1,\dots,x_n}[x_1|\dots|x_n]\big) &= \sum (-1)^{\delta_n} \lambda_{x_1,\dots,x_n} x_1 f([x_2|\dots|x_n]) \\ &+ \sum \lambda_{x_1,\dots,x_n} f([x_1|\dots|x_{n-1}])x_n \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\delta_n = n+|x_1||f| = n+m^2w(f)$. This complex is reminiscent of the left Koszul complex but with a perturbed differential. Indeed, for the odd-weight subcomplex of $\text{Sym}(V)[\text{wt}]$, this is the case. Let $\Lambda(V)$ be the determinant line, i.e., the highest weight component of $\bigwedge V$ if $|V|$ is even or of $\text{Sym}\, V$ if $|V|$ is odd.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $N = dim V$ and $m = |V|$.*

(1) If N is even, $C^{*,*}_{\text{odd}}(\text{Sym}(V)[\text{wt}])$ is acyclic.

(2) If N and m are odd, the inclusion

$$\Lambda(V)[\mathrm{wt}] \longhookrightarrow C^{*,*}_{\mathrm{odd}}(\mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}])$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

(3) If N is odd and m is even, there is a quasi-isomorphism

$$\Lambda(V[1])^\vee(-N) \simeq C^{*,*}_{\mathrm{odd}}(\mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}]).$$

Proof. Recall that a generic element of W_n for $\mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}]$ is a linear combination of elements

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} sgn(\sigma)^{m-1}[x_{\sigma(1)}|x_{\sigma(2)}|\dots|x_{\sigma(n)}],\ \ x_i \in V.$$

The codifferential (3.3) evaluates on such elements as

$$\begin{aligned} &df\big(\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} sgn(\sigma)^{m-1}[x_{\sigma(1)}|\dots|x_{\sigma(n)}]\big)\\ &= \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} sgn(\sigma)^{m-1}((-1)^{\delta'_n}+1)f([x_{\sigma(1)}|\dots|x_{\sigma(n-1)}])x_{\sigma(n)}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\delta'_n &= \delta_n + (n-1)(m-1) + m^2(n-1+w(f))\\ &= n + (m-1)^2 w(f) + (n-1)(m-1) + m^2(n-1+w(f)).\end{aligned}$$

The first term after δ_n comes from the difference in signs of two permutations σ and σ' as in (2.7), and the last term comes from commuting $x_{\sigma(n)}$ and $f([x_{\sigma(1)}|\dots|x_{\sigma(n-1)}])$. If $w(f)$ is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} &df\big(\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} sgn(\sigma)^{m-1}[x_{\sigma(1)}|\dots|x_{\sigma(n)}]\big)\\ &= 2\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} sgn(\sigma)^{m-1} f([x_{\sigma(1)}|\dots|x_{\sigma(n-1)}])x_{\sigma(n)}.\end{aligned}$$

This formula can be written more suggestively as follows. The component W_n is isomorphic as a vector space to the n^{th}-symmetric power of $V[1]$,

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} sgn(\sigma)^{m-1}[x_{\sigma(1)}|\dots|x_{\sigma(n)}] \in W_n \longleftrightarrow x_1\dots x_n \in \mathrm{Sym}^n(V[1]). \tag{3.5}$$

In these terms, the codifferential is

$$\begin{aligned} df(x_1\dots x_n) &= 2\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{(m-1)(n-i)} f(x_1\dots\hat{x}_i\dots x_n)x_i \qquad (3.6)\\ &= (-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)} 2\sum_{i=1}^n f\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\big(x_1\dots x_i\dots x_n\big)\big)x_i.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{Sym}^n(V[1])$ is finite dimensional, we have the canonical isomorphism

$$Hom_{\Bbbk}(\mathrm{Sym}^n(V[1])(n), \mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}]) \cong \mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}] \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^n(V[1])^\vee(-n). \tag{3.7}$$

If m is even, then $\mathrm{Sym}(V[1])$ is a graded Frobenius algebra: we have the identification

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n(V[1])^\vee \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{N-n}(V[1])[N(m-1)] \tag{3.8}$$

depending on a choice of an element in $\Lambda(V[1]) = \mathrm{Sym}^N(V[1])$. With this isomorphism,

$$Hom_{\Bbbk}(\mathrm{Sym}^n(V[1])(n), \mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}]) \cong \mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}] \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{N-n}(V[1])[N(m-1)](-n). \tag{3.9}$$

The graded vector space underlying this complex is the same as that underlying the shifted left Koszul resolution $K(\mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}])_l[N(m-1)](-N)$ written in terms of (3.5). Notice, however, that the subcomplex on the right-hand side corresponding to the odd-weight subcomplex on the left depends on N: if N is even, it is the odd-weight subcomplex, but if N is odd, the parity of weight is reversed. In either case, it is clear that, pushed through these isomorphisms, the codifferential (3.6) becomes

$$d(p\otimes x_1\dots x_{N-n}) = (-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)}2\sum_{i=1}^{N-n} px_i\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\big(x_1\dots x_i\dots x_{N-n}\big),$$

precisely 2 times the left Koszul differential. The odd-weight part of the shifted left Koszul resolution is acyclic, while the even-weight part is quasi-isomorphic to $\Bbbk[N(m-1)](-N)$. Reversing (3.8), we identify this one-dimensional factor with the dual of the shifted determinant line, $\mathrm{Sym}^N(V[1])^\vee(-N) = \Lambda(V[1])^\vee(-N)$, in the original complex (3.7).

If instead m is odd, then $\mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}]$ is graded Frobenius, and similarly to before, it is isomorphic to its dual $\mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}]^\vee[N(1-m)]$:

$$\begin{aligned} Hom_{\Bbbk}(\mathrm{Sym}^n(V[1])(n), \mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}]) &\\ \cong \mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}]^\vee[N(1-m)]\otimes \mathrm{Sym}^n(V[1])^\vee(-n) &\\ \cong \Big(\mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}][N(m-1)]\otimes \mathrm{Sym}^n(V[1])(n)\Big)^\vee. & \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

The graded vector space underlying this complex is the same as that underlying the dual of the shifted left Koszul resolution,

$$Hom_{\Bbbk}(K(\mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}])_l[N(m-1)], \Bbbk).$$

Once again, the parity of weight is preserved by the first isomorphism in (3.10) if N is even and reversed if N is odd. In either case, the codifferential (3.6) is the dual of

$$d(p\otimes x_1\dots x_n) = (-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)}2\sum_{i=1}^{n} px_i\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\big(x_1\dots x_i\dots x_n\big),$$

the left Koszul differential. Since the functor $Hom_{\Bbbk}(\cdot,\Bbbk)$ is exact, the cohomology of $K(\mathrm{Sym}(V)[\mathrm{wt}])_l[N(m-1)]$ is isomorphic to the cohomology of its dual. We thus identify $\Bbbk[N(m-1)]$ with the sheared determinant line $\Lambda(V)[\mathrm{wt}]$. □

With identity 1.5, we have proven

Theorem 3.11. *Let $N = dim V$ and $m = |V|$. There are quasi-isomorphisms of chain complexes of bigraded graded vector spaces*

$$C^{*,*}_{\mathrm{even}}(\mathrm{Sym}(V[-1]))[\mathrm{wt}] \simeq C^{*,*}(\bigwedge V)$$

if N is even;

$$C^{*,*}_{\mathrm{even}}(\mathrm{Sym}(V[-1]))[\mathrm{wt}] \oplus \Bbbk[-Nm] \simeq C^{*,*}(\bigwedge V)$$

if N is odd and m is even; and

$$C^{*,*}_{\mathrm{even}}(\mathrm{Sym}\, V[-1])[\mathrm{wt}] \oplus \Bbbk[Nm](-N) \simeq C^{*,*}(\bigwedge V)$$

if N and m are odd. If the the internal degree is taken modulo 2, the shifts [wt] *are trivial.*

4. Algebraic structure on Hochschild cohomology

Continuing with the assumption that A is of the form (1.3), suppose $|V| = 1$, so the internal grading of A coincides with weight and $A[\mathrm{wt}]$ is concentrated in degree 0. Thus far, we have worked with the Hochschild (co)chain complexes bigraded by cohomological and internal degrees. We now totalize the complexes to study algebraic structures, for which A should be considered a differential graded algebra. This is achieved explicitly by the isomorphisms F and G in (A.3) and (A.4). Combining them with the maps in Propositions 2.2 and 3.2, we have isomorphisms of differential graded vector spaces

$$h_* := F \circ \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(h_{*,*}) \circ F^{-1} : C_*^{\mathrm{odd}}(A(\mathrm{wt})) \longrightarrow C_*^{\mathrm{odd}}(A)(\mathrm{wt}),$$
$$h^* := G \circ \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(h^{*,*}) \circ G^{-1} : C^*_{\mathrm{even}}(A(\mathrm{wt})) \longrightarrow C^*_{\mathrm{even}}(A)(\mathrm{wt}).$$

Suppressing the suspension symbol, we see in particular that h^* maps a weight-homogeneous $f \in C^n_{\mathrm{even}}(A(\mathrm{wt}))$ to the element in $C_{\mathrm{even}}(A)(\mathrm{wt})^n$ defined by

$$h^*(f)([a_1|\dots|a_n]) = (-1)^{(n-1)w(a_1)+(n-2)w(a_2)+\cdots+w(a_{n-1})} f([a_1|\dots|a_n]). \tag{4.1}$$

It is understood that the a_i on the left are viewed as elements of A and those on the right are viewed as elements of $A(\mathrm{wt})$. We will show that h^* preserves the algebraic structure on Hochschild cochains, including the usual operations descending to cohomology.

4.1. **Gerstenhaber structure.** Recall that for an arbitrary differential graded algebra A, the cup product of two Hochschild cochains $f \in C^n(A)$ and $g \in C^p(A)$ is defined by

$$f \cup g([a_1|\dots|a_{n+p}]) = (-1)^{p(|a_1|+\cdots+|a_n|+n)} f([a_1|\dots|a_n]) g([a_{n+1}|\dots|a_{n+p}]).$$

The k^{th} brace operation on cochains $f_i \in C^{n_i}(A)$ is defined as

$$f_0\{f_1,\dots,f_k\} := \sum_{1 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_k \le n_0} f_0 \circ_{j_1,\dots,j_k} (f_1,\dots,f_k)$$

where $\circ_{j_1,\dots,j_k}$ denotes inserting f_i into slot j_i of f_0. Together, the cup product and brace operations satisfy certain higher homotopical identities and give an action of the operad B_∞ on $C^*(A)$ [GJ]. The induced Lie bracket on the shifted complex $C^*(A)(1)$,

$$[f_0, f_1] := f_0\{f_1\} - (-1)^{(n_0-1)(n_1-1)} f_1\{f_0\},$$

is the Gerstenhaber bracket, originally studied in [Ger63].

For A in our desired form (1.3), these operations evidently preserve the weight grading and so restrict to $C^*_{\mathrm{even}}(A)$. The sheared complex $C^*_{\mathrm{even}}(A)(\mathrm{wt})$ retains the operations from the unshifted complex,

$$\begin{aligned} s^{-w(f)} f \cup s^{-w(g)} g &:= s^{-w(f)-w(g)} f \cup g \\ s^{-w(f_0)} f_0\{s^{-w(f_1)} f_1, \dots, s^{-w(f_n)} f_n\} &:= s^{-w(f_0)-\cdots-w(f_n)} f_0\{f_1,\dots,f_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2. *The map h^* is an isomorphism of B_∞-algebras.*

Proof. Let $f \in C^n_{\mathrm{even}}(A(\mathrm{wt}))$ and $g \in C^p_{\mathrm{even}}(A(\mathrm{wt}))$. Observe

$$\begin{aligned} h^*(f) \cup h^*(g)([a_1|\dots|a_{n+p}]) &= (-1)^{\kappa_\cup} h^*(f)([a_1|\dots|a_n]) h^*(g)([a_{n+1}|\dots|a_{n+p}]) \\ &= (-1)^{\kappa_\cup+\kappa_m+\kappa_n} f([a_1|\dots|a_n]) g([a_{n+1}|\dots|a_{n+p}]) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\cup &= (w(a_1)+\cdots+w(a_n)+n)(p+w(g)) \equiv (w(a_1)+\cdots+w(a_n)+n)p \mod 2, \\ \kappa_n &= (n-1)w(a_1) + (n-2)w(a_2) + \cdots + w(a_{n-1}), \\ \kappa_p &= (p-1)w(a_{n+1}) + (p-2)w(a_{n+2}) + \cdots + w(a_{n+p-1}). \end{aligned}$$

But this precisely equals

$$\begin{aligned} h^*(f \cup g)([a_1|\dots|a_{n+p}]) &= (-1)^{(n+p-1)w(a_1)+\cdots+w(a_{n+p-1})} f \cup g([a_1|\dots|a_{n+p}]) \\ &= (-1)^{np+(n+p-1)w(a_1)+\cdots+w(a_{n+p-1})} f([a_1|\dots|a_n]) g([a_{n+1}|\dots|a_{n+p}]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, h^* preserves the cup product. Similar computation shows h^* respects all the brace operations. □

Corollary 4.3. *The map h^* descends to an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras*

$$HH^*_{\text{even}}(A(\text{wt})) \longrightarrow HH^*_{\text{even}}(A)(\text{wt}).$$

Having related Hochschild (co)homology of the exterior algebra to that of the symmetric algebra in Theorems 2.8 and 3.11, we can provide a geometric interpretation through the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg (HKR) formalism [HKR62]. Within the Hochschild cochain complex of $A = \operatorname{Sym} V$ where $|V| = 0$ or 1 is the subcomplex $D_{\text{poly}} = D_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee)$ consisting of polydifferential operators, i.e., the span of tensor products of algebraic differential operators on A. The cohomology of D_{poly} is the space of algebraic polyvector fields, $T_{\text{poly}} = T_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee) := \operatorname{Sym}_A Der(A)$ where $Der(A)$ is the space of $\Bbbk$-linear derivations of A. With symmetric product $\bullet$ and Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\text{SN}}$, polyvector fields form a Gerstenhaber algebra. In fact, the composition

$$\text{HKR} : T_{\text{poly}} \to D_{\text{poly}} \hookrightarrow C^*(A), \quad \gamma_1 \bullet \cdots \bullet \gamma_n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon_\sigma \gamma_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_{\sigma(n)}, \tag{4.4}$$

where ϵ_σ is determined by Koszul sign rule, is a quasi-isomorphism of differential graded vector spaces, and the induced isomorphism on cohomology $T_{\text{poly}} \to HH^*(A)$ is one of Gerstenhaber algebras.

Dually, the HKR theorem identifies the Hochschild homology of the symmetric algebra as algebraic differential forms. Specifically, if Ω_K denotes the space of Kähler forms of A, then the map

$$\text{HKR} : C_*(A) \to \Omega(V^\vee) := \operatorname{Sym}_A \Omega_K, \quad a_0[a_1|\dots|a_n] \mapsto \frac{1}{n!} a_0 da_1 \dots da_n,$$

where Ω is viewed as having trivial differential, induces an isomorphism $HH_*(A) \to \Omega$.

The spaces D_{poly}, T_{poly}, and Ω are naturally weight-graded and so decompose into even- and odd-weight subspaces.

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose $|V| = 0$ and let $N = \dim V$.*

(1) There is an isomorphism of differential graded vector spaces

$$HH_*(\bigwedge V) \longrightarrow \Bbbk \oplus \Omega_{\text{odd}}(V^\vee(1))(\text{wt}).$$

(2) If N is even, there is an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\Big(T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))(\text{wt}), \bullet, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\text{SN}}\Big) \longrightarrow \Big(HH^*(\bigwedge V), \cup, [\cdot,\cdot]\Big).$$

(3) If N is odd, there is an isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\Big(T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))(\text{wt}) \oplus \bigwedge{}^N V, \bullet, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\text{SN}}\Big) \longrightarrow \Big(HH^*(\bigwedge V), \cup, [\cdot,\cdot]\Big)$$

where on the left the symmetric product and Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket are extended according to the rules

$$\forall\, \gamma \in \bigwedge^N V, \qquad \alpha \bullet \gamma = \begin{cases} \alpha\gamma & \text{if } \alpha \in \Bbbk \subset T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(\text{wt})^0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$[\alpha, \gamma]_{\text{SN}} = \begin{cases} (h^*)^{-1}\alpha\,(\gamma) & \text{if } \alpha \in T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(\text{wt})^1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first statement is a result of Theorem 2.8, the isomorphism h_*, and the HKR isomorphism. The second statement follows from Corollary 4.3 and the HKR isomorphism. This also establishes the isomorphism of the even-weight subspaces in the third statement. The remaining assertion in the third statement follows from comparing cohomological degree and weight. If $\alpha \in HH^n_{\text{even}}(\bigwedge V)$, then $\alpha \cup \gamma \in HH^n_{\text{odd}}(\bigwedge V)$, which is trivial unless $n = 0$ and $w(\alpha) = 0$. Also $[\alpha, \gamma] \in HH^{n-1}_{\text{odd}}(\bigwedge V)$, which is trivial unless $n = 1$. □

This statement in particular recovers the results regarding the additive and cup product structure of Hochschild (co)homology in [XH07] and [LA].

Remark 4.6. As promised by Keller's theorem [Kel], the Koszul dual algebras $\text{Sym}(V(-1))$ and $\text{Sym}(V^\vee)$ have isomorphic Hochschild cohomology as Gerstenhaber algebras. The isomorphism is easily written in coordinates. If $\{x_1^\vee, \dots, x_n^\vee\}$ is a basis of $V^\vee$ and $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ is the shifted dual basis in $V(-1)$, it is

$$T_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1)) \longleftrightarrow T_{\text{poly}}(V), \;\; \xi_{j_1} \dots \xi_{j_t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{i_1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{i_s}} \longleftrightarrow x^\vee_{i_1} \dots x^\vee_{i_s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\vee_{j_1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\vee_{j_t}}. \tag{4.7}$$

That is, Koszul duality interchanges the "polynomial" and "polyvector" components of Hochschild cohomology. We may compose this isomorphism with the isomorphisms in statements (2) and (3) of Theorem 4.5 to relate the cohomology of $\bigwedge V$ to $T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V)$, polyvector fields on ungraded affine space.

The theorem provides an explicit basis for $HH^*(\bigwedge V)$ that is convenient for algebraic computations. Letting $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a basis for V, we may write it as the set of all polyvector fields of the form

$$x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_s}}, \;\; 1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_t \le n, \;\; 1 \le i_1 \le \dots \le i_s \le n, \;\; s + t \equiv 0 \bmod 2$$

corresponding to $\xi_{j_1} \dots \xi_{j_t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{i_1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{i_s}} \in T_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))$, augmented by a chosen determinant form in $\bigwedge^N V$ when N is odd. Under the identification h^* in (4.1) and the HKR map, these basis elements are represented by cocycles in $C^*(\bigwedge V)$ evaluating as

$$\begin{aligned} &x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_t} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{\sigma(1)}}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{\sigma(s)}}}([a_1| \dots |a_n]) \\ &= (-1)^{(n-1)w(a_1) + \dots + w(a_{n-1})} x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_t} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{\sigma(1)}}}(a_1) \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{\sigma(s)}}}(a_n) \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

on weight-homogeneous elements a_i of $\bigwedge V$.

4.2. **BV structure.** As is well known, the space of polyvector fields is a Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) algebra. Specifically, there is a square-zero, cohomological degree -1 operator Δ recovering the Gerstenhaber bracket by the identity

$$[f,g] = \Delta(fg) - \Delta(f)g - (-1)^{|f|} f\Delta(g).$$

For $T_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))$, the BV operator is the divergence operator with respect to a chosen determinant form $\omega \in \text{Sym}(V(-1))$. If in coordinates $\omega = \xi_1\xi_2\ldots\xi_N$, then

$$\text{div}_\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i}, \quad \theta_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_i}. \tag{4.9}$$

The BV operator for $T_{\text{poly}}(V)$ has the same form under the isomorphism (4.7).

Recent work by Volkov [Vol16] and, independently, by Lambre et al. [LZZ16] demonstrates the existence of BV structures on the Hochschild cohomology of certain Frobenius algebras. Recall that a Frobenius algebra A has a nondegenerate bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : A\otimes A \to \Bbbk$ with a distinguished algebra automorphism ν, called the Nakayama automorphism, determined by

$$\langle a, \cdot\rangle = \langle \cdot, \nu(a)\rangle \ \ \forall\, a \in A.$$

The focus of the two papers is on Frobenius algebras with semisimple Nakayama automorphism. Volkov generalizes the BV structure in [Tra08] for algebras with symmetric, nondegenerate inner products, which is conjectured to coincide with BV structures arising from string topology [CS]. The authors of [LZZ16] show that the Connes operator on Hochshild homology with coefficients twisted by the Nakayama automorphism dualizes to a BV operator. The two BV structures are expected to agree generally.

The exterior algebra $\bigwedge V$ is a basic example of a Frobenius algebra with semisimple Nakayama automorphism. When $\dim V$ is odd, the automorphism ν is trivial, but when $\dim V$ is even, it detects the parity of weight,

$$\nu(a) = (-1)^{w(a)}a.$$

Since div_ω is weight-homogeneous, the identification in Theorem 4.5 immediately imparts a BV structure to $HH^*_{\text{even}}(\bigwedge V)$ recovering the Gerstenhaber structure. When N is odd, the operator div_ω, having cohomological degree -1, is extended by zero to $\bigwedge^N V$. It is straightforward to check that the resulting BV structure on the entire Hochschild cohomology $HH^*(\bigwedge V)$ is the one described by general formulas in [Vol16] and [LZZ16].

Theorem 4.10. *Suppose $|V| = 0$. Let $N = \dim V$, and let div_ω be the divergence operator associated to a determinant form $\omega \in \text{Sym}(V(-1))$ restricted to $T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))$.*

(1) If N is even, $HH^*(\bigwedge V)$ is isomorphic as a BV algebra to

$$\Big(T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))(\text{wt}),\, \bullet\,, \text{div}_\omega\Big).$$

(2) If N is odd, $HH^*(\bigwedge V)$ is isomorphic as a BV algebra to

$$\Big(T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))(\text{wt}) \oplus \bigwedge{}^N V,\, \bullet\,, \text{div}_\omega\Big)$$

where div_ω is extended by 0 to $\bigwedge^N V$.

In either case, the induced Gerstenhaber structure is the one of Theorem 4.5.

Thus, when N is even, the exterior algebra provides a simple example of a BV structure coming from a duality with twisted Hochschild homology ([LZZ16]). The result here was independently discovered by Weiguo Lv in a forthcoming paper, but his methods are along the lines of [XH07] and are very different.

5. Formality theorems and deformation theory

Assume for now that $\Bbbk = \mathbb{R}$ and $|V| = 0$. In broad terms, the Hochschild cochain complex $C^*(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ of algebraic functions has the special property of being algebraically formal. Firstly, Kontsevich [Kon03] proves that the HKR map (4.4) extends to an L_∞ quasi-isomorphism between the shifted complexes $HH^*(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n))(1)$ and $C^*(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n))(1)$ given their standard differential graded Lie structures. Tamarkin [Tam] produces a functorial G_∞ structure on $C^*(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and demonstrates the existence of a G_∞ quasi-isomorphism extending the HKR map. More recently, Willwacher [Wil] and Campos [Cam] prove versions of formality involving homotopy braces and homotopy BV structures, respectively.

Catteneo and Felder [CF07] adapted Kontsevich's L_∞ formality morphism to the setting of supermanifolds, a result stated implicitly in the original paper [Kon03]. As for the ungraded case, the morphism consists of a sequence of linear maps

$$U_n : T_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))(1)^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow D_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))(1)$$

satisfying the L_∞ homotopical identities. The first component U_1 is the HKR map (4.4), and the higher components U_n are weighted summations over Kontsevich graphs Γ [Kon03] of maps D_Γ. In coordinates $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N$, for $V(-1)$, the D_Γ are defined by

$$D_\Gamma(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \pi\mu\Big(\prod_{(i,j)\in\Gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k^{(i)}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_k^{(j)}} \Big)(\gamma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_n \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_m) \tag{5.1}$$

where

- Γ has n vertices of type I and m vertices of type II;
- $\theta_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_k}$, $\gamma_p \in T_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))$ for all $1 \le p \le n$, and $f_t \in \text{Sym}(V(-1))$ for all $1 \le t \le m$;
- (i) and (j) mean the the operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k^{(i)}}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_k^{(j)}}$ are applied to the i^{th} and j^{th} arguments;
- μ is multiplication in T_{poly}, viewing the f_i as cohomological degree 0 vector fields;
- and π is the projection $T_{\text{poly}} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Sym}(V(-1))$.

Clearly, D_Γ is weight-homogeneous, so the Taylor components U_n of the L_∞ morphism are as well. Hence, we deduce

Theorem 5.2. *Let U be the Kontsevich L_∞ formality morphism [CF07] restricted to $T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))(1)$. The composition*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^*_{\text{even}}(\text{Sym}(V(-1))(\text{wt})(1) & \xrightarrow{(h^*)^{-1}} & C^*_{\text{even}}(\bigwedge V)(1) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle U} & & \vdots \\ T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))(\text{wt})(1) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{} & HH^*_{\text{even}}(\bigwedge V)(1) \end{array}$$

is an L_∞ quasi-isomorphism where $C^*(\bigwedge V)(1)$ and $HH^*(\bigwedge V)(1)$ are given their standard differential graded Lie structures. Therefore, when $\dim V$ is even, $C^*(\bigwedge V)(1)$ is formal as an L_∞ algebra.

Formality for other types of algebra structures follows similarly, but there are some technical points in adapting results to the graded symmetric algebra. We give only a brief sketch. For any quasi-isomorphism of operads $G_\infty \to B_\infty$ [Tam], the complexes $C^*_{\text{even}}(\bigwedge V)$ and $C^*_{\text{even}}(\operatorname{Sym} V(-1))(\text{wt})$ acquire isomorphic G_∞ structures by Theorem 4.2, reducing to the usual Gerstenhaber structures on cohomology. As for L_∞ formality above, one needs to exhibit a G_∞ quasi-isomorphism for $\operatorname{Sym}(V(-1))$ that is weight-homogeneous. For the ungraded symmetric algebra, such a morphism is demonstrated in [CVdB10], which more generally proves affine equivariance. Alternatively, following [Wil], one could adapt the action of the colored operad *bigGra* to the graded setting, imparting a Br_∞ structure to $T_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))$. This Br_∞ structure and the action of Kontsevich graphs on the colored vector space $T_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1)) \oplus D_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))$ are seen to be weight-homogeneous, so the arguments in [Wil] imply Br_∞ and G_∞ formality for the exterior algebra on an even dimensional vector space.

The odd dimensional case is where the exterior and symmetric algebras truly diverge. According to Theorem 4.5, the adjoint action of a volume form $\omega \in \bigwedge^N V$ on Hochschild cohomology is trivial except on $HH^1(\bigwedge V)$. On the cochain level, however, any lift of ω pairs nontrivially with all graded components. This difference is already enough when $N = 1$ to preclude L_∞ formality.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\Bbbk$ be any characteristic zero field, and suppose $|x| = 0$. There does not exist an L_∞ quasi-isomorphism*

$$HH^*(\Bbbk[x]/(x^2))(1) \longrightarrow C^*(\Bbbk[x]/(x^2))(1)$$

where the left and right sides are given their standard differential graded Lie structures.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g} = C^*(\Bbbk[x]/(x^2))(1)$, and suppose $f : H^*(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g}$ is an L_∞ quasi-isomorphism with Taylor components $f_n : H^*(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes n} \to \mathfrak{g}$. If ∂ is the unique derivation of $\Bbbk[x]/(x^2)$ such that $\partial(x) = 1$, then by Theorem 4.5 we realize

$$H^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n < -1 \\ \Bbbk \cdot x\partial^n & \text{if } n \geq -1 \text{ is odd,} \\ \Bbbk \cdot \partial^n & \text{if } n \geq -1 \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Since each component $H^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ is one-dimensional, the cochain $\partial^{\otimes n}$ if n is even or $x\partial^{\otimes n}$ if n is odd, as specified in (4.8), is the unique cocycle in $\mathfrak{g}^{n-1}$ up to scaling and up to coboundary.

Consider the elements $x \in H^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $x\partial^{2i-1} \in H^{2i-2}(\mathfrak{g})$ where $i \gg 1$. The first map f_1 is a quasi-isomorphism, so we may write

$$f_1(x) = x, \quad f_1(x\partial^{2i-1}) = x\partial^{\otimes 2i-1} + d\gamma$$

for some $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}^{2i-3}$. By definition of being an L_∞ morphism, f satisfies the relation

$$f_1([x, x\partial^{2i-1}]) = 2[f_1(x), f_1(x\partial^{2i-1})] + df_2(x, x\partial^{2i-1}).$$

But $[x, x\partial^{2i-1}] = 0$ for $i > 1$ by Theorem 4.5, so

$$df_2(x, x\partial^{2i-1}) = -2[f_1(x), f_1(x\partial^{2i-1})] = -2[x, x\partial^{\otimes 2i-1} + d\gamma] = 2(x\partial^{\otimes 2i-2} - [x, d\gamma]).$$

By an identity of Gerstenhaber [Ger63], the last term $[x, d\gamma]$ equals

$$-d\gamma \circ x = -d(\gamma \circ x) + (-1)^{2i-2}\gamma \circ dx + \gamma \cup x - (-1)^{(2i-2)\cdot 0} x \cup \gamma = -d(\gamma \circ x),$$

the operation $\circ$ being the first brace operation (also known as the Gerstenhaber circle product). Moreover, it is straightforward to check that $-(1/2)d(\partial^{\otimes 2i-3}) = x\partial^{\otimes 2i-2}$, so we deduce

$$f_2(x, x\partial^{2i-1}) = -\partial^{\otimes 2i-3} + 2\gamma \circ x + z$$

where $z \in \mathfrak{g}^{2i-4}$ is a cocycle. We may further specify z up to scaling and up to coboundary, writing

$$f_2(x, x\partial^{2i-1}) = -\partial^{\otimes 2i-3} + 2\gamma \circ x + \lambda x\partial^{\otimes 2i-3} + d\rho \tag{5.4}$$

where $\lambda \in \Bbbk$ and $\rho \in \mathfrak{g}^{2i-5}$.

At the next level, f satisfies the relation

$$\begin{aligned} &f_2([x,x], x\partial^{2i-1}) - 2f_2([x, x\partial^{2i-1}], x) \\ &\qquad = 2[f_1(x), f_2(x, x\partial^{2i-1})] - [f_1(x\partial^{2i-1}), f_2(x,x)] + df_3(x, x, x\partial^{2i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

But $[x,x] = [x, x\partial^{2i-1}] = 0$, and for degree reasons $f_2(x,x) = 0$. Thus, this equation reduces to

$$[x, f_2(x, x\partial^{2i-1})] = \frac{1}{2} df_3(x, x, x\partial^{2i-1}),$$

expressing the requirement that the Massey triple product $\langle x, x, x\partial^{2i-1}\rangle$ is zero on $H^*(\mathfrak{g})$. For a contradiction, however, we show that $[x, f_2(x, x\partial^{2i-1})]$ cannot be a coboundary. Using the expression (5.4) above, observe

$$\begin{aligned} [x, f_2(x, x\partial^{2i-1})] &= [x, -\partial^{\otimes 2i-3} + 2\gamma \circ x + \lambda x\partial^{\otimes 2i-3} + d\rho] \\ &= \partial^{\otimes 2i-4} - (\gamma \circ x) \circ x - \lambda x\partial^{\otimes 2i-4} - d\rho \circ x \\ &= \partial^{\otimes 2i-4} - (\gamma \circ x) \circ x + \frac{1}{2}\lambda d(\partial^{\otimes 2i-5}) - d(\rho \circ x). \end{aligned}$$

So it suffices to show $\partial^{\otimes 2i-4} - (\gamma \circ x) \circ x$ is not a coboundary. We compute

$$\partial^{\otimes 2i-4}(x^{\otimes 2i-4}) - (\gamma \circ x) \circ x\,(x^{\otimes 2i-4}) = -1 - 0.$$

On the other hand, for any $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^{2i-6}$, $d\mu(x^{\otimes 2i-4})$ is a multiple of x, implying $d\mu$ cannot equal $\partial^{\otimes 2i-4} - (\gamma \circ x) \circ x$. □

We conjecture that the statement and proof generalize to any odd dimension.

To examine implications for deformation theory, recall that a Maurer-Cartan element of a differential graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is an element $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ satisfying

$$d\gamma + \frac{1}{2}[\gamma, \gamma] = 0.$$

We write $MC(\mathfrak{g})$ for the set of gauge equivalence classes of Maurer-Cartan elements (see, for example, [Kon03]).

Let $R = \mathbb{R} \oplus R_+$ be a complete, augmented, and commutative $\mathbb{R}$-algebra, e.g., $R = \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$. For an associative algebra A in cohomological degree 0, formal deformations of A over R are the same as Maurer-Cartan elements of the differential graded Lie algebra $C^*(A)(1)\hat{\otimes}R_+$, where $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the completed tensor product. A standard fact is that an L_∞ quasi-isomorphism between two differential graded Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ induces a bijection $MC(\mathfrak{g}\hat{\otimes}R_+) \leftrightarrow MC(\mathfrak{h}\hat{\otimes}R_+)$. So for the exterior algebra, the L_∞ quasi-isomorphism of Theorem 5.2 induces a bijection between gauge equivalence classes of formal deformations and

$$MC(HH^*_{\text{even}}(\bigwedge V)(1)\hat{\otimes}R_+) = MC(HH^*(\bigwedge V)(1)\hat{\otimes}R_+).$$

But by the isomorphism in Theorem 4.5, this set is in bijective correspondence with

$$MC(T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))(\text{wt})(1)\hat{\otimes}R_+).$$

Let us examine this set more closely. Up to gauge equivalence, an element is of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\gamma_i r_i$ where, given $n > 0$, r_i is an element of R_+^n for sufficiently large i and $\gamma_i \in T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))(\text{wt})^2$ is a sum of even-weight bivectors, i.e.,

$$\xi_{j_1}\dots\xi_{j_t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_{i_1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_{i_2}},\ \ t\equiv 0 \bmod 2$$

in the notation of Remark 4.6. The Maurer-Cartan condition is that the self-bracket under the R_+-linearly extended Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket is zero,

$$[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\gamma_i r_i, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\gamma_i r_i] = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty}[\gamma_i,\gamma_j]_{\text{SN}} r_i r_j = 0.$$

We say such elements are formal Poisson since a bivector $\gamma \in T_{\text{poly}}(V)$ satisfying $[\gamma,\gamma]_{\text{SN}} = 0$ defines a Poisson bracket on $\text{Sym}(V^\vee)$. Through the isomorphism (4.7), the γ_i correspond to polyvectors in $T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V)(\text{wt})$ with quadratic coefficients,

$$\xi_{j_1}\dots\xi_{j_t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_{i_1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_{i_2}} \longleftrightarrow x^\vee_{i_1}x^\vee_{i_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\vee_{j_1}}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\vee_{j_t}},$$

and the resulting formal polyvector in $T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V)(\text{wt})(1)\hat{\otimes}R_+$ still has self-bracket equal to 0.

Corollary 5.5. *For V of any dimension, formal deformations of $\bigwedge V$ over R are in one to one correspondence with formal Poisson bivectors in*

$$T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V^\vee(1))(\text{wt})(1)\hat{\otimes}R_+,$$

up to gauge equivalence. Under the Koszul duality between $\text{Sym}(V^\vee)$ *and* $\text{Sym}(V(-1))$*, these correspond to formal Poisson polyvectors with quadratic coefficients in*

$$T^{\text{even}}_{\text{poly}}(V)(\text{wt})(1)\hat{\otimes}R_+.$$

Therefore, just as for the ordinary symmetric algebra, deformation theory of the exterior algebra can be studied in terms of formal Poisson structures.

Appendix A. Hochschild (co)homology of a graded algebra

The literature contains different sign conventions for Hochschild (co)homology of a graded algebra. The subject can be approached on the one hand from the homological algebra of ordinary graded objects (e.g., [Kas86]) or, on the other, from the theory of differential graded objects (e.g., [Abb]). The following establishes a relationship between the two from a general point of view, paying careful attention to sign rules. Comparing graded modules to differential graded modules and comparing derived functors have been done before (see e.g. [Bec15], [BMR14], [PVdB]), but we could not find a discussion of totalization of Hochschild (co)homology for non-perfect bimodules.

Let B be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative $\Bbbk$-algebra and B-gr the abelian category whose objects are $\mathbb{Z}$-graded left B-modules and morphisms are degree preserving B-linear maps. Then consider the category of chain complexes $Ch(B\text{-}gr)$. An object in this category is a bi-complex $(M^{*,*}, d)$ where the first index is cohomological degree and the second is internal degree; the horizontal differential has degree $(1,0)$ and the vertical differential is trivial. The corresponding category for right B-modules, $Ch(gr\text{-}B)$, is defined in the same way.

Let B^{dg} be B thought of as a differential graded algebra. Let B^{dg}-*mod* be the category whose objects are differential graded left B^{dg}-modules and morphisms are degree preserving B^{dg}-linear maps. In particular, an object is a chain complex (M^*, d) satisfying $d(bm) = (-1)^{|b|}bd(m)$ for all $b \in B^{dg}$. The corresponding category for right B^{dg}-modules, *mod*-B^{dg}, is defined in the same way except the differential satisfies $d(mb) = d(m)b$ for all $b \in B^{dg}$.

There are two functors by which to obtain an object in B^{dg}-*mod* from an object $M \in Ch(B\text{-}gr)$. First, there is direct sum totalization,

$$\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(M)^i := \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^j(M^{j,i-j})$$

where Σ denotes suspension of the internal degree. The B^{dg}-module structure is defined by

$$b\, s^j m = (-1)^{j|b|} s^j bm$$

and the differential by

$$d(s^j m) := s^{j+1} d(m)$$

so as to satisfy the needed identity $d(b\, s^j m) = (-1)^{|b|}bd(s^j m)$ [Bec15]. Alternatively, there is direct product totalization,

$$\mathrm{Tot}^{\Pi}(M)^i = \prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^j(M^{j,i-j}),$$

with B^{dg}-module structure and differential defined as above in each coordinate. The two totalization functors are defined the same way for $Ch(gr\text{-}B)$ except there is no sign in the right action of B^{dg}.

Let $Ch_{\leq 0}(B\text{-}gr)$ be the full subcategory of $Ch(B\text{-}gr)$ consisting of chain complexes concentrated in non-positive cohomological degree. In the standard projective model structure on $Ch_{\leq 0}(B\text{-}gr)$ [Hov99], cofibrant objects are complexes of projective graded B-modules. If P is such an object, then $\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(P)$ is a retract of a semifree B^{dg}-module, which is cofibrant in the standard projective model structure on B^{dg}-*mod* [BMR14]. This leads to the following comparison:

Proposition A.1. *Let $M \in B\text{-}gr$ and $N \in gr\text{-}B$. In $\Bbbk^{dg}$-mod, there is a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(N \otimes^L_B M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(N) \otimes^L_{B^{dg}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(M).$$

Proof. Let $P^{*,*} \in Ch_{\leq 0}(B\text{-}gr)$ be a projective resolution of M. Define a map

$$\varphi : \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(N \otimes_B P) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(N) \otimes_{B^{dg}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(P)$$

that on homogeneous elements of $\Sigma^j N \otimes_B P^{j,*}$ evaluates as

$$\varphi : s^j(n \otimes_B p) \mapsto (-1)^{j|n|} n \otimes_{B^{dg}} s^j p.$$

Observe that

$$\varphi(s^j(n \otimes_B bp)) = (-1)^{j|n|} n \otimes_{B^{dg}} s^j(bp) = (-1)^{j(|n|+|b|)} nb \otimes_{B^{dg}} s^j p = \varphi(s^j(nb \otimes_B p)),$$

so φ is well-defined. It is clearly a levelwise isomorphism, so we have only to show that it is compatible with the differentials:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi \circ d(s^j(n \otimes_B p)) = \varphi(s^{j+1}(n \otimes_B dp)) = (-1)^{(j+1)|n|} n \otimes_{B^{dg}} s^{j+1} dp,\\
&d \circ \varphi(s^j(n \otimes_B p)) = d((-1)^{j|n|} n \otimes_{B^{dg}} s^j p) = (-1)^{j|n|+|n|} n \otimes_{B^{dg}} s^{j+1} dp.
\end{aligned}$$

□

There is an analogous statement for derived Hom. For any $M, N \in B\text{-}gr$, let $Hom_B(M,N)$ be the graded vector space spanned by homoegeneous B-linear maps

$$Hom_B(M,N) := \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} Hom_{B\text{-}gr}(M, N[n]).$$

Similarly, for any $M, N \in B^{dg}\text{-}mod$, let $Hom_{B^{dg}}(M,N)$ be the differential graded vector space spanned by homogeneous B^{dg}-linear maps

$$Hom_{B^{dg}}(M,N) := \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} Hom_{B^{dg}\text{-}mod}(M, N(n)), \quad df = d_N f - (-1)^{|f|} f d_M.$$

Proposition A.2. *Let $M, N \in B\text{-}gr$. In $\Bbbk^{dg}\text{-}mod$, there is a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Tot}^{\Pi}\ RHom_B(M,N) \longrightarrow RHom_{B^{dg}}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(M), \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(N)).$$

Proof. Let $P^{*,*} \in Ch_{\leq 0}(B\text{-}gr)$ be a projective resolution of M. Define a map

$$\psi : \mathrm{Tot}^{\Pi}\Big(\bigoplus_{j\geq 0} Hom_B(P^{-j,*}, N)(j)\Big) \to Hom_{B^{dg}}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(P), \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(N))$$

that on a homogeneous element of degree i evaluates as

$$\psi : \prod_{j\geq 0} s^j f_j \mapsto \Big(\tilde{f} : s^{-k}p \mapsto (-1)^{k(i-k)} f_k(p)\Big).$$

Observe

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(bs^{-k}p) = (-1)^{-k|b|}\tilde{f}(s^{-k}bp) &= (-1)^{-k|b|+k(i-k)} f_k(bp) \\ &= (-1)^{-k|b|+k(i-k)+(i-k)|b|} b f_k(p) = (-1)^{i|b|} b\tilde{f}(s^{-k}p),\end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{f}$ is indeed B^{dg}-linear. The map ψ is clearly a levelwise isomorphism, so we have only to show that it is compatible with differentials:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi \circ d(\textstyle\prod s^j f_j)(s^{-k}p) &= \psi(\textstyle\prod s^{j+1} df_j)(s^{-k}p) \\ &= (-1)^{k(i+1-k)} df_{k-1}(p) \\ &= (-1)^{k(i+1-k)+k} f_{k-1}(dp), \\ d \circ \psi(\textstyle\prod s^j f_j)(s^{-k}p) &= d\tilde{f}(s^{-k}p) \\ &= (-1)^{i+1}\tilde{f}(d(s^{-k}p)) \\ &= (-1)^{i+1}\tilde{f}(s^{-k+1}dp) \\ &= (-1)^{i+1+(k-1)(i-k+1)} f_{k-1}(dp).\end{aligned}$$

□

Letting $B = A^e$, $M = N = A$, and $P = \mathrm{Bar}(A)$ in the above propositions, we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(C_{*,*}(A)) &\longrightarrow A^{dg} \otimes_{(A^e)^{dg}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(\mathrm{Bar}(A)) \\ \psi : \mathrm{Tot}^{\Pi}(\widetilde{C}^{*,*}(A)) &\longrightarrow Hom_{(A^e)^{dg}}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(\mathrm{Bar}(A)), A^{dg}).\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, there is an isomorphism between the totalized bigraded bar resolution and the differential graded bar resolution

$$\begin{aligned}&q : \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(\mathrm{Bar}(A)) \to \mathrm{Bar}^{dg}(A), \\ &q(s^n a_0[a_1|\dots|a_n]a_{n+1}) = (-1)^{n|a_0|+(n-1)|a_1|+\cdots+|a_{n-1}|} a_0[sa_1|\dots|sa_n]a_{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $Q : Hom(\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(\mathrm{Bar}(A)), A^{dg}) \to Hom(\mathrm{Bar}^{dg}(A), A^{dg})$ be the precomposition $Q(f) = f \circ q^{-1}$. Then we have the desired isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} F &:= (id_{A^{dg}} \otimes_{(A^e)^{dg}} q) \circ \varphi : \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(C_{*,*}(A)) \longrightarrow C_*(A) \\ G &:= Q \circ \psi : \mathrm{Tot}^{\Pi}(\widetilde{C}^{*,*}(A)) \longrightarrow \widetilde{C}^*(A). \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

However, the computations in the body of the paper pertain to A of the form (1.3) and to the subcomplexes of Hochschild cochains spanned by weight-homogeneous elements, which we labeled by $C^{*,*}(A)$ and $C^*(A)$. In the above formalism, graded B-modules and B^{dg}-modules are replaced by bigraded B-modules and graded B^{dg}-modules; the functors $\otimes_B$, $\otimes_{B^{dg}}$, Hom_B, and $Hom_{B^{dg}}$ are bigraded. Hochschild homology is automatically graded by weight. If in A the internal degree of the generating vector space V is 0, then the functors $\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}$ and Tot^{Π} are the identity functors, and the map G restricts to the identity of $C^*(A)$. If the internal degree of the generators is a nonzero integer, then $\widetilde{C}^{*,*}(A) = C^{*,*}(A)$, and G identifies the direct product totalization with $\widetilde{C}^*(A)$, which is the completion of $C^*(A)$ with respect to the weight grading. The subspace mapping isomorphically to $C^*(A)$ under G is precisely the direct sum totalization,

$$G|_{\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}} : \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(C^{*,*}(A)) \longrightarrow C^*(A). \tag{A.4}$$

It is easy to check that the functor $\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus} : Ch(\Bbbk\text{-}gr) \to s\Bbbk^{dg}\text{-}mod$ exchanges shifts in internal degree with homological shifts, including shearing,

$$\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(M[\mathrm{wt}]) = \mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus}(M)(\mathrm{wt}).$$

References

[Abb] Hossein Abbaspour. On algebraic structures of the Hochschild complex. arXiv:1302.6534v3.

[Bec15] Kristen A. Beck. On the image of the totaling functor. *Comm. Algebra*, 43(4):1640–1653, 2015.

[BGG78] I. N. Bernšteĭn, I. M. Gelfand, and S. I. Gelfand. Algebraic vector bundles on $\mathbf{P}^n$ and problems of linear algebra. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 12(3):66–67, 1978.

[BGS96] Alexander Beilinson, Victor Ginzburg, and Wolfgang Soergel. Koszul duality patterns in representation theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(2):473–527, 1996.

[BK99] M. C. R. Butler and A. D. King. Minimal resolutions of algebras. *J. Algebra*, 212(1):323–362, 1999.

[BMR14] Tobias Barthel, J. P. May, and Emily Riehl. Six model structures for DG-modules over DGAs: model category theory in homological action. *New York J. Math.*, 20:1077–1159, 2014.

[Cam] Ricardo Campos. BV formality. arXiv:1506.07715v1.

[CF07] Alberto S. Cattaneo and Giovanni Felder. Relative formality theorem and quantisation of coisotropic submanifolds. *Adv. Math.*, 208(2):521–548, 2007.

[CS] Moira Chas and Dennis Sullivan. String topology. arXiv:math/9911159.

[CVdB10] Damien Calaque and Michel Van den Bergh. Global formality at the G_∞-level. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 10(1):31–64, 271, 2010.

[CYZ] Xiaojun Chen, Song Yang, and Guodong Zhou. Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and the noncommutative Poincare duality of Koszul Calabi-Yau algebras. arXiv:1406.0176v2.

[Ger63] Murray Gerstenhaber. The cohomology structure of an associative ring. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 78:267–288, 1963.

[Gin] Victor Ginzburg. Calabi-Yau algebras. arXiv:math/0612139v3.

[GJ] Ezra Getzler and J.D.S. Jones. Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals for double loops spaces. arXiv:hep-th/9403055v1.

[Her] Estanislao Herscovich. Hochschild (co)homology and Koszul duality. arXiv:1405.2247v2.

[HKR62] G. Hochschild, Bertram Kostant, and Alex Rosenberg. Differential forms on regular affine algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 102:383–408, 1962.

[Hov99] Mark Hovey. *Model categories*, volume 63 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[Kas86] Christian Kassel. A Künneth formula for the cyclic cohomology of $\mathbf{Z}/2$-graded algebras. *Math. Ann.*, 275(4):683–699, 1986.

[Kel] Bernard Keller. Derived invariance of higher structures on the Hochschild complex. Available at http://www.math.jussieu.fr/ keller.

[Kon03] Maxim Kontsevich. Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 66(3):157–216, 2003.

[LA] L. Lampret and Vavpetič A. Hochschild (co)homology of exterior algebras using AMT. arXiv:1512.08283v1.

[LV12] Jean-Louis Loday and Bruno Vallette. *Algebraic operads*, volume 346 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.

[LZZ16] Thierry Lambre, Guodong Zhou, and Alexander Zimmermann. The Hochschild cohomology ring of a Frobenius algebra with semisimple Nakayama automorphism is a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra. *J. Algebra*, 446:103–131, 2016.

[PVdB] Alexander Polishchuk and Michel Van den Bergh. Semiorthogonal decompositions of the categories of equivariant coherent sheaves for some reflection groups. arXiv:1503.04160v3.

[Tam] Dmitry E. Tamarkin. Another proof of M. Kontsevich formality theorem. arXiv:math/9803025v4.

[Tra08] Thomas Tradler. The Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra on Hochschild cohomology induced by infinity inner products. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 58(7):2351–2379, 2008.

[Vol16] Y. V. Volkov. BV differential on Hochschild cohomology of Frobenius algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 220(10):3384–3402, 2016.

[Wil] Thomas Willwacher. The homotopy braces formality morphism. arXiv:1109.3520v2.

[XH07] Yunge Xu and Yang Han. Hochschild (co)homology of exterior algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 35(1):115–131, 2007.